IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jeffrey L. Hanes

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:00-CV-2003

Plaintiff

JUN 17 2002

Apple Chevrolet, Inc. et al.

v.

Judge Sylvia H. Rambo

Defendant

MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

TO JUDGES OF SAID COURT:

AND NOW, this day of June 14, 2002, comes Plaintiff, Jeffrey L. Hanes, by and through his counsel, Sandra I. Thompson, to file this Motion for Sanctions and states the following:

- On February 13, 2002, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Compel Discovery with a Request for 1. Sanctions.
- In response to Plaintiff's motion, this Honorable Court scheduled a telephone conference 2. between the parties.
- By order dated February 19, 2002, the Court struck Defendants' subpoenas that 3. scheduled depositions of Plaintiff's witnesses for February 20, 2002.
- The Court further ordered Defendants to supply discovery to Plaintiff and a stay on the 4. conduct of depositions until such time that Defendants complied with discovery.
- On or around March 8, 2002 submitted some responses to Plaintiff's discovery requests. 5.

- 6. To resolve claims of insufficient discovery responses given by Defendants, Plaintiff initiated a telephone conference that was held between the parties with the Court on March 13, 2002.
- 7. As a result of this telephone conference, this Honorable court ordered the parties to submit, by March 20, 2002, their respective requests for discovery and answers received for court review.
- 8. On March 13, 2002, after the conference call, Defendants attempted to schedule a deposition for Plaintiff. (A copy of said letter is attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit A).
- 9. On March 15, 2002, by letter, Plaintiff advised Defendants that they were acted without court approval, and as such Plaintiff would not participate in depositions. (A copy of said letter is attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit B).
- 10. On March 15, 2002, by letter to the Court, Plaintiff advised this Honorable Court that Defendants were attempting to schedule depositions without leave of court.
- 11. On March 18, 2002, Defendants responded to also advised the Court that Plaintiff refused to move forward with depositions without prior leave of court.
- 12. By order of court that was dated April 4, 2002, this Honorable Court authorized the parties to "schedule depositions".
- 13. On May 31, 2002, Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment with Defendants'
 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts, and supporting Brief.
- 14. These documents refer to depositions of Dimitrios Tomboris, Mark Green, Lionel Weathers, and Steve Jones that were conducted by Defendants on April 4, 2002.
- 15. These depositions were obviously scheduled prior to court leave.

- 16. These depositions were conducted without proper notice to Plaintiff to allow him to participate in the depositions.
- 17. Defendants' acted willfully and in bad faith.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court would:

- 1. fully exclude and strike the depositions of Dimitrios Tomboris, Mark Green, Lionel Weathers, and Steve Jones;
- 2. would prevent their use by Defendants, by content and by reference, in any pre-trial, trial, or post-trial hearing or motion;
- 3. order attorney fees and costs for the filing of said motion; and
- 4. order any other relief that the Court finds just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted:

DATED: June 14, 2002

Sandra Thompson

Attorney for Plaintiff PA ID 84345

P.O. Box 2361

York, Pennsylvania 17405

717-845-1408

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I, Sandra Thompson, Esquire, as counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby verify that the statements made in this Motion for Sanctions are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements made herein are subject to the penalties for unsworn falsification to authorities as provided in 18 Pa. C.S. §4904.

DATE:

June 14, 2002

Sandra Thompson, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jeffrey L. Hanes : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:00-CV-2003

Plaintiff :

v.

:

Apple Chevrolet, Inc. et al. : Judge Sylvia H. Rambo

Defendants :

CERTIFICATE OF NONCONCURRENCE

I, Sandra Thompson, Esquire, Attorney for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that Defendants do not concur with Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions.

Respectfully Submitted:

DATED: June 14, 2002

Sandra Thompson, Esquire

Attorney for Plaintiff

P.O. Box 2361____

York, Pennsylvania 17405

717-845-1408

LAW OFFICES BLAKEY, YOST, BUPP & RAUSCH, LLP 17 EAST MARKET STREET YORK, PENNSYLVANIA 17401

ALBERT G. BLAKEY DONALD H. YOST DAVID WM. BUPP DONALD B. HOYT CHARLES A. RAUSCH SARA A. AUSTIN STACEY R. MACNEAL PENNY V. AYERS JOHN J. BARANSKI, JR. ROBERT O. BEERS+ +OF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE (717) 845-3674 FACSIMILE (717) 854-7839

PLEASE CORRESPOND TO YORK OFFICE

March 13, 2002

DILLSBURG OFFICE: 104 SOUTH BALTIMORE STREET DILLSBURG, PA 17019 (717) 502-8256

Sandra Thompson, Esquire P.O. Box 2361 York, PA 17405

Re: Hanes v. Apple Chevrolet, Inc., et al.

MDPA No. 1:CV-00-2003

Dear Attorney Thompson:

Please advise which of the following days and times is preferable for the deposition of your client:

- ➤ April 4, 2002, at 2:00 p.m.;
- April 5, 2002, at 10:30 a.m.; or
- ➤ April 5, 2002, at 1:00 pm.

If I do not hear back from you within three (3) days of the date of this letter, then, due to the short time period in which we have to complete discovery, I will be forced to schedule the deposition according to my calendar.

Very truly yours,

Sara A. Austin

BLAKEY, YOST, BUPP & RAUSCH, LLP

cc: Mr. Terry Stewart

FX4181- 11011

THE LAW OFFICE OF SANDRA THOMPSON

P.O. BOX 2361, YORK, PENNSYLVANIA 17405

717-845-1408

March 15, 2002

Sara A. Austin, Esquire Blakey, Yost, Bupp & Rausch, LLP 17 East Market Street York, Pennsylvania 17401

Hanes v. Apple Chevrolet, et al RE:

Dear Attorney Austin:

Please be advised that I forwarded to the court a copy of your "answers" to Plaintiff's discovery request, along with a rendition as to why they were not compliant.

Also, in response to your letter of March 13, 2002, you were not given leave of court to continue with depositions. By the court's previous order, you were precluded from engaging in depositions until you complied with discovery. The court is still reviewing this issue of compliance. I advise you to cease and desist with your depositions until such time as you are granted leave by the court, especially after the court's statements of March 13, 2002.

Sincerely,

Sandra Thompson, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff

Jeffrey L. Hanes cc. Enclosure

Ex4121-11011

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Jeffrey L. Hanes : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:00-CV-2003

Plaintiff :

v. :

Apple Chevrolet, Inc. et al.

Judge Sylvia H. Rambo

Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sandra Thompson, Esquire, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that I have served upon Defendants a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Response and Brief in Opposition to "Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment", along with a copy of Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and supporting brief by postage prepaid first class mail, addressed as follows:

Sara A Austin, Esquire Blakey, Yost, Bupp, and Rausch, LLP 17 East Market Street York, Pennsylvania 17401

Respectfully Submitted:

DATED: June 14, 2002

Sandra Thompson, Esquire

Counsel for Plaintiff

PA ID No. 84345

P.O. Box 2361

York, Pennsylvania 17405

717-845-1408-